

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,024	5,024 01/15/2002		Lawrence Sarresh	2380-442	7871
23117	7590	10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC				GELIN, JEAN ALLAND	
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				2681	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•			
	Office Action Summary	10/045,024	SARRESH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jean A Gelin	2681	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addre	ess
THE - Extraordite - If th - If N - Fail	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION COMMU	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howeven. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commiscome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				,
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	15 Januarv 2002.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)	·—		al matters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-11,15-18,24-27,30, 31 and Claim(s) 6-8,12-14,19-23,28,29 and 32-34 Claim(s) are subject to restriction at</u>	ndrawn from considerati 35-43 is/are rejected. is/are objected to.	·	
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the a	tached Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Sta	age
A 44 1-	462			
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	ondow Current (DTO 146)	
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Pa	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ster No(s)/Mail Date <u>7, 8</u> .	3/08) 5) ☐ No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 ner:	52)

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9, 11, 15-18, 24, 26, 27, 30, 35-38, 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meszko (US 6,327,299).

Regarding claims 1, 17, 26, Meszko teaches a method of operating a base station included in a radio access network of a telecommunications system, the method comprising: obtaining, respectively from two diversity antennas (60 and 62) for a cell/carrier utilized in a sector served by the base station (fig. 1), two branches of a radio link signal (26 and 28) (col. 6, lines 25-36); routing the two branches (26 and 28) of the radio link signal through two respective branches of signal processing hardware (56, 58) either prior to application of the two branches of the radio link signal to the respective two diversity antennas or subsequent to receipt of the two branches of the radio link signal from the respective two diversity antennas (col. 3, line 11 to col. 4, line); measuring a delay difference between the two branches of the radio link signal (i.e., processor 54 produces an output proportional to a difference in delay between the first and second radio frequency diversity signal, col. 5, lines 23-65, col. 8, lines 1-44).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 2, 18, Meszko teaches using a rake receiver for measuring the delay difference between the two branches of the radio link signal (i.e. measurer 70, col. 4, lines 15-46).

Regarding claims 3, 5, 16, 27, Meszko teaches using the delay difference to determine a delay alignment adjustment value for compensating for the delay difference between the two branches of the radio link signal (col. 3, lines 41-51).

Regarding claims 4, 15, Meszko teaches wherein the radio link signal is an uplink signal to the radio base station, and further comprising using a rake receiver at the base station for measuring the delay difference between the two branches of the radio link signal (col. 4, lines 15-46).

Regarding claim 9, Meszko teaches applying the delay alignment adjustment value to one of the two branches of signal processing hardware to compensate for the delay difference (i.e., processor 54 controls delay circuits 50 and 52, col. 5, lines 23-53).

Regarding claim 11, Meszko teaches wherein the user equipment unit is a test user equipment unit, which is situated essentially equidistantly between the two diversity antennas (col. 4, lines 30-46).

Regarding claims 24, 30, and 42, Meszko teaches wherein the delay alignment adjustment value is applied to one of the two branches of signal processing hardware (col. 7, line 42 to col. 8, line 4).

Regarding claim 35, Meszko teaches a radio access network of a telecommunications system comprising: a base station (fig. 1) having two diversity antennas (60 and 62) for a cell/carrier utilized in a sector served by the base station; a

Art Unit: 2681

test user equipment unit situated essentially equidistantly with respect to the two diversity antennas, two branches of a radio link signal being transmitted between the test user equipment unit and the two diversity antennas (i.e., measurer 70 having an antenna 80 is placed at a location equidistant from antennas 60 and 62 so that delays are equal, col. 4, lines 35-46); the base station further comprising two branches of signal processing hardware which respectively process the two branches of the radio link signal (branches 26 and 28); and wherein a rake receiver measures a delay difference between the two branches of the radio link signal (col. 4, lines 14-29, col. 5, lines 25-53, col. 6, lines 26-61).

Regarding claim 36, Meszko teaches wherein the rake receiver is situated at the base station (i.e., fig. 1 has components which are equivalent to base station).

Regarding claim 37, Meszko teaches a processor, which uses the delay difference to determine a delay alignment adjustment value (i.e., a processor within the measurer 70, col. 5, lines 23-65, col. 6, lines 20-25).

Regarding claim 38, Meszko teaches wherein the delay alignment adjustment value is applied to one of the two branches of signal processing hardware (i.e., controlling delays 50 and 52, col. 5, lines 38-44).

Regarding claim 40, Meszko teaches wherein the rake receiver is situated at the test user equipment unit (col. 4, lines 30-46).

Regarding claim 41, Meszko teaches a processor at the base station, which uses the delay difference to determine a delay alignment adjustment value (col. 5, lines 24-53).

Art Unit: 2681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 25, 31, 39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meszko in view of Schilling et al. (US 2001/0024466 A1).

Regarding claims 10, 25, 31, 39, and 43, Meszko teaches all the limitations above except applying the delay alignment adjustment value to a delay alignment buffer included in the one of the two branches of signal processing hardware to compensate for the delay difference.

However, the preceding limitation is known in the art of communications. Schilling teaches a storing for storing previous and present value received from a first and a second antenna wherein the first antenna is coupled to delay device such as a shift register, the received plurality of spread spectrum signals is delayed with respect to the plurality of phased versions of the spread spectrum signals (sections 0012, 0013, 0031-0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to implement the technique of Schilling within the system of Meszko in order to use the storing means as shift register for performing the function storing the previous-magnitude value and the present-magnitude value for comparison; thus in response to the comparison, antenna beams are steered towards components of the spread spectrum signal with a highest combined magnitude.

Art Unit: 2681

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-8, 12-14, 19-23, 28-29, and 32-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vogel et al.	US 5,613,219 A	03/18/1997
Heinila	US 6,799,055 B2	09/28/2004
Shoki et al.	US 6,480,526 B1	11/12/2002
Sudo et al.	US 6,625,202 B1	09/23/2003
Yamamoto et al.	US 6,700,865 B1	03/02/2004

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

JGelin October 13, 2004

year Alland Gelin